From:
 David McQuiddy

 To:
 Ragan Broyles

 Cc:
 Eric Delgado

 Subject:
 Re: FW: URGENT - REVIEW

 Date:
 06/30/2011 12:17 PM

## Ragan,

Eric and I have discussed and we would move the last sentence in answer to question 1 to the first sentence of answer 1.

Wes McQuiddy Chief, Emergency Readiness Section U.S. EPA Region 6 (6SF-PE) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 214-665-6722

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product and is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance, or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Ragan Broyles---06/30/2011 11:59:17 AM---Sent with Good (www.good.com) --Forwarded by Ragan Broyles/R6/USEPA/US on 06/30/2011 12:59:1

From: Ragan Broyles/R6/USEPA/US

To: David McQuiddy/R6/USEPA/US, Eric Delgado/R6/USEPA/US

Date: 06/30/2011 11:59 AM
Subject: FW: URGENT - REVIEW

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

---- Forwarded by Ragan Broyles/R6/USEPA/US on 06/30/2011 12:59:16 PM-----

----- Original Message ------

From: David Gray/R6/USEPA/US

To: Greg Fife/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Broyles.Ragan@epamail.epa.gov, Sam

Coleman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc:

Sent on: 06/30/2011 12:51:37 PM

Subject: URGENT - REVIEW

1. Is the EPA plane monitoring the airspace above the lab, especially Area

G? (there are concerns about a no-fly zone)

State Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Response, in consultation with others, is determining the flight plan for the ASPECT based on the location of fires and wind direction. Yesterday's flight plan was limited due to other firefighting aircraft in the area. The approved flight plan did not include areas within the 'no-fly' zone.

2. What have the results of EPA monitoring flights shown so far? (ABC seemed to report yesterday that nothing unusual had been detected)

Monitoring data has been provided to New Mexico Department of Environmental Quality officials for review. No determination from ASPECT data has been announced.

3. Will EPA publish these results or are these turned to over to NMED? (fine either way, just curious)

Monitoring data is being provide to NMED and other state officials at the EOC. NMED is the lead for releasing data.

4. How exactly are the sites for the ground monitors being determined? (below says "identified by DOE/state officials", if those monitoring sites are being decided by DOE, that's going to raise questions w/ people who are inclined to doubt NNSA/LANL statements, obviously since its DOE property I assume there are conversations with them)

New Mexico Environmental Department, in consultation with others, is establishing and securing permission at sites for mobile monitors based on nearby and downwind populations.